

Operating Profitability R&D Rank

Profitability and research-intensity ranking evidence across broad listed equities.

FAMILY Profitability	UNIVERSE Broad listed-equity research panel	RESEARCH HORIZON 252d
--	---	---

Executive Summary

Operating Profitability R&D Rank is retained as secondary factor-style ranking evidence. The preferred 252d row shows a 1.71% top-minus-bottom spread and a 0.038 rank IC.

Preferred horizon	252d
252d rank spread	1.71%
Rank IC	0.038
Long-leg return	23.91%
Average turnover	32.65%

Observations

1. The row has positive preferred-horizon spread and positive rank IC.
2. The signal is retained as secondary comparison material, not a standalone product claim.
3. Issuer lists, formula recipes and current rank tables are excluded from the public note.

Data and Research Setup

The setup evaluates the rank on the same broad listed-equity panel and horizon grid used for the OAP rebuild.

UNIVERSE

Broad listed-equity research panel with monthly signal dates. Current issuer rankings are not shown.

INPUTS

Local factor-style signal scores and forward listed-equity return outcomes.

COMPARISON BASIS

Displayed rows use the same panel construction, date grid and forward-return convention.

OUTPUT BOUNDARY

The figures are historical diagnostics and do not present current positions or issuer recommendations.

Guerrieri Capital Ltd
guerriericapital.com

Signal Methodology

Without disclosing the exact functional, the construction proceeds along three conceptual steps.

STEP 1

Factor-style evidence

The construction summarises profitability information into a peer-relative score.

STEP 2

Cross-sectional ranking

Issuers are ranked against peers within the research panel at each observation date.

STEP 3

Forward evaluation

Ranked groups are compared over fixed forward horizons to measure top-minus-bottom behaviour.

The description is intentionally conceptual. Formula details, exact construction rules and implementation parameters are not disclosed.

Results and Horizon Context




Figure 1. Cumulative 252d top-minus-bottom rank-spread contribution, normalised to zero at the first valid research observation. Long-horizon forward observations overlap, so the series should be read as a ranking diagnostic, not as a standalone return path.




Figure 2. Top-minus-bottom spread across tested horizons under the same comparison setup.

Horizon	Rank spread	Rank IC	Long-leg return	Turnover
1d	0.00%	0.001	0.29%	32.65%
5d	-0.03%	0.010	0.61%	32.65%
10d	0.18%	0.024	1.06%	32.65%
21d	0.84%	0.050	2.15%	32.65%
63d	1.32%	0.048	5.54%	32.65%
126d	1.76%	0.046	11.18%	32.65%
252d	1.71%	0.038	23.91%	32.65%

The note presents secondary historical rank-ordering diagnostics, not current allocation instructions.

Stability and Robustness

Stability is assessed from the yearly preferred-horizon spread profile and neighbouring horizon rows.




Figure 3. Yearly average 252d rank-spread contribution for the preferred horizon.

Year	Average spread
2016	11.80%
2017	12.62%
2018	2.55%
2019	4.37%
2020	-4.34%
2021	0.51%
2022	0.71%
2023	13.48%
2024	-15.05%
2025	-11.83%

The evidence is useful for research-library comparison, but should be read alongside related factor-style ranks.

Research Interpretation

Operating Profitability R&D Rank is retained in the research library as secondary ranking evidence.

ROLE Secondary research candidate.	CAPTURES Relative profitability characteristics in the equity cross-section.
USE Comparison component inside broader systematic allocation research.	LIMITATIONS The note does not disclose construction details, issuer-level ranks, execution assumptions or product suitability.